news

extra pensions reform

The Pensions Regulator – ignore it at your peril

What does the arrival of the new Pensions Regulator from April 2005 signify for your scheme? The Pensions Act 2004 is seen by some as a landmark that will secure and strengthen the UK's private pension tradition. The new proactive Pensions Regulator will assist by protecting members' benefits. Here we take a glimpse at just some of the new Regulator's powers and also highlight some specific action points for employers and trustees.

New Act, New Acronym

TPR's objectives

- The Act provides for the Pensions Regulator (TPR) to replace the Occupational Pensions Regulatory Authority (Opra).
- TPR's objectives are to:
 - protect members' benefits;
 - reduce the risk of compensation becoming payable from the Pension Protection Fund;
 - promote and improve good scheme administration.

TPR's powers

A new approach?

- TPR will inherit all of Opra's existing powers, but also comes equipped with some significant extras.
- It will adopt a more proactive regulatory approach by:
 - collecting detailed information about all schemes;
 - focusing attention on higher risk "situations";
 - reducing the regulatory burden for well-run schemes;
 - exercising new powers where members' benefits are adjudged "at risk";
 - issuing codes of practice.

Protecting members' benefits

Some new powers

In pursuit of member protection, TPR can:

- issue improvement and third party notices compelling schemes to take specified action to remedy identified problems;
- freeze a scheme whilst it investigates matters further;
- make orders requiring assets to be restored to pension schemes in certain circumstances¹.



Gathering information

The new "whistleblowers"

- Like Opra, TPR will rely on "whistleblowers" to report breaches of the law.
- From April 2005, compulsory "whistleblowers" will include trustees, employers and professional advisers generally.
- A draft code of practice was issued in December 2004 setting out a traffic light system for reporting breaches.

Action employers / trustees to establish internal procedures to meet the new whistleblowing responsibilities (including keeping records of breaches) by April 2005.

Gathering information

Protecting the PPF

- From May 2005, employers and trustees of schemes potentially eligible for the PPF² will also need to notify TPR of certain events (eg deciding to compromise a scheme debt).
- TPR will generally expect to hear from employers / trustees within 5 working days.

Action employers / trustees to establish internal procedures by May 2005.

Protecting the PPF

"Moral hazard"

- TPR's anti-avoidance powers³ are amongst its most controversial, and include the ability to:
 - issue contribution notices where a scheme debt has been avoided;
 - require financial support for an underfunded scheme;
 - impose restoration orders where there has been a transaction at an undervalue involving scheme assets.

Action employers / trustees beware taking steps (or not taking steps) which may affect scheme funding or an employer's ability to meet scheme debt.

Will TPR be effective?

- TPR's powers are clearly more far-reaching than those currently enjoyed by Opra, covering a wide range of acts and individuals.
- They may therefore come as a surprise to the unsuspecting.
- Employers and trustees should consider carrying out a pre-April 2005 audit to ensure that they are ready for the regulatory future.
- 2 For example, defined benefit schemes
- 3 See our November 2004 Sackers Extra News: "Anti-avoidance a 'moral hazard'?"

This edition of Sackers Extra News is part of a series focusing specifically on pensions reform to keep you abreast of the key issues throughout this period of change.



Solicitors specialising in pensions law

Sacker & Partners LLP 29 Ludgate Hill London EC4M 7NX Tel 020 7329 6699 Fax 020 7248 0552

enquiries@sackers.com www.sackers.com

Nothing stated in this document should be treated as an authoritative statement of the law on any particular aspect or in any specific case. Action should not be taken on the basis of this document alone. For specific advice on any particular aspect you should consult the usual Solicitor with whom you deal. Sacker & Partners LLP February 2005